

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The claims have been amended, as outlined above. The Office Action stated that claims 2, 7 10, and 19 appear as being unamended in Amendment E and Amendment F and that these claims should not have been included in either amendment. In order to correct this error, as outlined in the Office Action, Applicant hereby requests that the deletion of these unamended claims was a mistake and that they should be undeleted. Claims 2, 7 10, and 19 are not presented in the amendment as they are not being amended.

In the previous Office Action, claims 1-3, 5, 7, 8, and 20 were rejected under 35 U.S.C §251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. Claims 1, 3, 5, 8, 9, and 12-17 were amended using the claims from the issued patent. Additionally, new claim 20, the same claim presented in earlier responses, was added. Various spelling corrections and corrections regarding matters of form have been made in the claims, pursuant to the previous Office Action. Claims 1 and 20 have been amended to include all of the limitations of original claim 5, except that "mesh" has been deleted. Claim 1 has been amended to remove all references to the term "mesh". The phrase "closure member of the compartment" in claim 13 and the phrase "two sides wherein" in claim 14 were inadvertently deleted from the claims and are now restored. The recitations of cancelled claims 4 and 6 are now part of claim 1, which was indicated in a previous Office Action as rendering claim 1 allowable. Thus, claims 1-3, 5, 7-8 and 20 are now in condition for allowance. Claims 9, 10, and 12-19 were indicated in the Office Action as allowed. Claim 20 has been underlined in its entirety but it is not clear why the previous Office Action required that Claims 5 and 7 must be totally lined through as only claims 4, 6 and 11 were canceled, without prejudice.

Pursuant to the Office Action, specific claim amendments were made to correct the following errors:

In claim 1, (amended) was inserted after -- 1. - - and [within] inserted in line 12, and - - by - - underlined. In claim 9, (amended) was inserted after -- 9. - - and [mesh] inserted before "closure" in the last line. In claim 12, (amended)

was inserted after -- 12. -- and [11] inserted after -- claim -- and "9" underlined. In claim 14, line 7, "front end of the handle and defining an insect trap" before 'compartment' was inadvertently omitted as an oversight and the language is to be retained.


Claims 4, 6 and 11 were cancelled.

A Supplemental Declaration covering the instant amendment is enclosed herewith. This Supplemental Declaration is submitted pursuant to the Office Action, under 37 CFR 1.175(b) and MPEP 1444, to cover any error corrected, which is not covered by a previous oath or declaration, i.e., any error corrected after the filing of all declarations currently in the reissue application.

It is respectfully submitted that the claims are now in allowable form.

Respectfully submitted,

KELLY BAUERSFELD LOWRY & KELLEY, LLP

By 

Scott W. Kelley
Reg. No. 30,762
Attorney for Applicant

MJS/maf
6320 Canoga Avenue
Suite 1650
Woodland Hills, CA 91367
(818) 347-7900